# ECOTOXICITY ASSESSMENT OF NANOFILTRATION PRODUCTS AS THE FIRST STEP OF SCANDIUM PRODUCTION FROM TITANIUM DIOXIDE PRODUCTION WASTE

Ildikó FEKETE-KERTÉSZ<sup>1</sup>, Emese VASZITA<sup>1</sup>, Mónika MOLNÁR<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian HEDWIG<sup>2</sup>, Markus LENZ<sup>2</sup>, Viktória FEIGL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Biotechnology and Food Science, Faculty of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1111 Budapest, Műegyetem rpt. 3, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, Institute for Ecopreneurship, University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Hofackerstrasse 30, 4132 Muttenz, Switzerland

fekete.kertesz.ildi@mail.bme.hu, markus.lenz@fhnw.ch

#### Abstract

Nanofiltration can be the first technological step to produce scandium from the acidic liquid waste of  $TiO_2$  production. Prior to nanofiltration, micro and ultrafiltration were applied. To assess the potential environmental impacts that the filtration products (permeates, retentates) may have an ecotoxicity study was performed. We found that the ecotoxicity of the permeates decreased after each filtration step and the retentates are less toxic, than the original material.

#### Introduction

The acidic liquid from  $TiO_2$  production may be re-used for the production of scandium<sup>1</sup>. Nanofiltration can be applied to successfully remove impurities and recover Sc selectively<sup>2</sup>, however further filtration steps may be necessary to protect the nanofiltration membrane from clogging<sup>2</sup>. We applied a series of filtration steps:  $1^{st}$  microfiltration,  $2^{nd}$  ultrafiltration,  $3^{rd}$  nanofiltration. Following the filtering sequence, we applied ecotoxicity tests to assess the potential adverse environmental effects if such liquids were to be emitted accidentally.

#### **Materials and methods**

Nanofiltration samples originated from the laboratory experiments of the SCALE project (Table 1). From the solid samples, both solid (whole soil tests) and 1:10 aqueous extracts (shaken for 24 h at 300 rpm, filtered) were tested. Chemical analysis of the extracts was carried out by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS 7'500cx/8800, Agilent Technologies). The ecotoxicity test battery applying testorganisms from three trophic levels included: *Aliivibrio fischeri* 

(bacteria) bioluminescence inhibition test<sup>4</sup>, *Lemna minor* (plant) reproduction inhibition test by frond number and chlorophyll content measurement<sup>5</sup>, *Daphnia magna* (crustacean) immobilization test<sup>6</sup>. Effective Concentrations (EC<sub>20</sub>, concentration causing 20% inhibition) were calculated from the inhibition % (compared to the control) of a sample dilution series. The EC was expressed as x-fold dilution of the initial sample. EC<sub>20</sub> values can be regarded as the lowest dilution that have a significant toxic impact<sup>7</sup>. We considered median EC<sub>20</sub> values from all tests as the threshold dilution with tolerable toxic effect.

Sample name	Nanofiltration technology steps					
ALW	TiO <sub>2</sub> production Acidic Liquid Waste (aq)					
	Microfiltration Permeate (aq)					
MFP	(pH adjusted to 1.5 with NaOH before filtration, 1 $\mu$ m pore size)					
MFR	Microfiltration Retentate (s)					
	Ultrafiltration Permeate (aq)					
UFP	(150 kDa molecular weight cut-off)					
NFP	Nanofiltration Permeate (aq)					
NFR	Nanofiltration Retentate (aq)					

Table 1. Samples from the filtration steps

#### **Results and discussion**

The ecotoxicity results (Table 2) show that the ALW was highly toxic to the aquatic environment, as approx. 3000 times dilution was needed to reach the acceptable toxicity threshold (EC<sub>20</sub>). The EC<sub>20</sub> values of the permeate decreased with each filtering step: by 25% after micro-, by 71% after ultra- and by 99% after nanofiltration compared to ALW. This trend is in accordance with chemical analytical data, as the concentration of all the measured metals decreases with each step. In addition, also the amount of the suspended solids decreased with each step. Similarly, the water extract of MFR was less toxic than the ALW, but it would still need approx. 100 times dilution to reach the acceptable toxicity level. The ecotoxicity of the nanofiltration retentate was similar to MFR.

		ALW	MFP	MFR	UFP	NFP	NFR
		EC <sub>20</sub> (dilution)					
A. fischeri	30 min acute	1140x	2279x	100x	1748x	27x	173x
	60 min acute	4344x	2697x	934x	1611x	27x	165x
D. magna	48 h acute	1039x	904x	103x	169x	25x	104x
	72 h acute	1829x	996x	282x	170x	37x	154x
L. minor	7 d acute chlorophyll	46671x	43271x	43x	1720x	120x	91x
	7 d acute frond	23814x	2373x	44x	128x	20x	142x
Median		3086x	2326x	102x	890x	27x	148x

Table 2. EC<sub>20</sub> values for the wastes generated in the SCALE technology alternatives

ERES2020: 3<sup>rd</sup> European Rare Earth Resources Conference |Delfi| Oct 2020

## Conclusions

Ecotoxicity testing of permeates and retentates from membrane filtration showed a decrease of toxicity in permeates after each filtration step. The retenates proved also to be less toxic than the original acid waste.

## Acknowledgement

We thank for the financial support of the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 730105, SCALE project. This work was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 16.0155. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Swiss government.

### References

- 1. SCALE project: Production of Sc compounds and Sc-Al alloys from European metallurgical byproducts, http://scale-project.eu/
- K. Remmen, R. Schäfer, S. Hedwig, T. Wintgens, M. Wessling, M. Lenz, "Layer-by-layer membrane modification allows scandium recovery by nanofiltration", *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 5 1683-1688 (2019).
- 3. S.P. Nunes, K.-V. Peinemann, "Basic Aspects of Membrane Science and Engineering" in *Comprehensive Membrane Science and Engineering*, Edited by E. Drioli, L. Giorno, Elsevier Science, (2010).
- 4. L. Leitgib, J. Kálmán, K. Gruiz, "Comparison of bioassays by testing whole soil and their water extract from contaminated sites", *Chemosphere*, **66** (3) 428–434 (2007).
- 5. I. Fekete-Kertész, Zs. Kunglné Nagy, K. Gruiz, Á. Magyar, É. Farkas, M. Molnár, "Assessing toxicity of organic aquatic micropollutants based on the total chlorophyll content of *Lemna minor* as a sensitive endpoint", *Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng.*, **59** (4) 262-271 (2015).
- 6. OECD 202. *Daphnia magna* Acute Immobilization Test, OECD Guideline for Testing Chemicals, 2004.
- G. Persoone, B. Marsalek, I. Blinova, A. Törökne, D. Zarina, L. Manusadzianas, G. Nalecz-Jawecki, L. Tofan, N., Stepanova, L. Tothova, B. Kolar, "A practical and user-friendly toxicity classification system with microbiotests for natural waters and wastewaters", *Environ. Toxicol.*. 18 (6) 395-402 (2003).